Teacher Worksample 2016-2019 Academic Years

Description of Teacher Work Sample (TWS) The TWS is an assessment designed to measure the candidate's ability to plan, deliver, and assess instruction and to use the assessment data to restructure instruction to ensure that all children learn. In developing the TWS, candidates are taught to plan instruction, assess instruction and, make decisions based on assessment results. They are also taught to adapt instruction for children with learning differences and to locate needed resources. In ED 380, Instructional Planning and Adapting Instruction, candidates are taught the process of developing a Teacher Work Sample. In this class, the instructor helps candidates develop reasonable goals and objectives and write the original lesson plan. The instructor helps candidates work through the process of describing the class and its needs, identifying any potential problems, planning the goals and objectives of the class, and planning appropriate assessments, such as pre and post tests. The instructor also assists candidates to reflect on the outcomes of the assessments and to become familiar with the resources and reasoning skills that are necessary for understanding and identifying children who need assistance. This process prepares the candidate for preparing the completed TWS in directed teaching.

The TWS is one of the culminating experiences for the teacher intern. During directed teaching, the candidate plans a ten day unit and during this unit, he/she will develop the TWS to match the teaching unit. When the performance outcomes are assessed, the teacher intern then identifies a group of students who have had similar problems with the lesson and he/she prepares alternative means for teaching the objectives that were not reached. If students continue to have problems, the candidate is encouraged to seek out solutions for meeting the academic needs of these students. This activity provides the candidate with experiences that allow him/her to see where instruction has succeeded and when it needs to be adapted. Candiates are expected to score an overall mean of 2.0 on the Teacher Worksample.

Table One: Aggregated mean score for each Teaching Process

Spring 2016-17 N=6

Spring 2010-1/ N=0							
Criteria	Program	Gender/race	score	Mean Score			
				2016			
				N= 6			
	eaching Process Or			2.66			
Knowledge of community,	Elem Ed	F/B	3	2.66			
schools and classroom factors	Elem Ed	F/B	3				
	English	F/B	3				
	Physical Ed	M/B	2				
	Mathematics	M/B	3				
	Mathematics	F/B	2				
Knowledge of characteristics	Elem Ed	F/B	2	2.33			
of students	Elem Ed	F/B	2				
	English	F/B	3				
	Physical Ed	M/B	2				
	Mathematics	M/B	3				
	Mathematics	F/B	2				
Knowledge of students' varied	Elem Ed	F/B	2	2.0			
approaches to learning	Elem Ed	F/B	2				
	English	F/B	2				
	Physical Ed	M/B	2				
	Mathematics	M/B	2				
	Mathematics	F/B	2				
Implications for Instructional	Elem Ed	F/B	2	1.83			
planning and assessment	Elem Ed	F/B	2				
	English	F/B	2				
	Physical Ed	M/B	1				
	Mathematics	M/B	2				
	Mathematics	F/B	2				
	Teaching Process	Two-Learning Go	als				
Significance, Challenge and	Elem Ed	F/B	2	2.33			
variety	Elem Ed	F/B	2				
	English	F/B	3				
	Physical Ed	M/B	2				
	Mathematics	M/B	2				
	Mathematics	F/B	3				
Clarity	Elem Ed	F/B	3	2.5			
•	Elem Ed	F/B	2				
	English	F/B	3				
	Physical Ed	M/B	2				
	Mathematics	M/B	2				
	Mathematics	F/B	3				
Alignment with National, State	Elem Ed	F/B	3	3.0			
or Local Standards	Elem Ed	F/B	3				
	English	F/B	3				
	Physical Ed	M/B	3				
	Mathematics	M/B	3				
	Mathematics	F/B	3				
7	Teaching Process Tl						
Alignment with learning Goals	Elem Ed	F/B	3	2.66			
and Instruction	Elem Ed	F/B	3	2.00			
	English	F/B	3				
	Physical Ed	M/B	2				
	i nyorem Da	141/15					

	T	T	I	ı
	Mathematics	M/B	2	
	Mathematics	F/B	3	
Adaptions to Assessment	Elem Ed	F/B	1	1.5
based instructional monitoring	Elem Ed	F/B	1	
	English	F/B	2	
	Physical Ed	M/B	1	
	Mathematics	M/B	2	
	Mathematics	F/B	2	
Quality of Assessment	Elem Ed	F/B	2	2.0
Instruments	Elem Ed	F/B	2	2.0
mstuments	English	F/B	2	
	Physical Ed	M/B	2	
	Mathematics	M/B M/B	2	
	Mathematics	F/B	$\frac{2}{2}$	
To			_	
	aching Process Four			2.0
Alignment with learning goals	Elem Ed	F/B	2	2.0
ACEI 3.1	Elem Ed	F/B	2	
	English	F/B	2	
	Physical Ed	M/B	2	
	Mathematics	M/B	2	
	Mathematics	F/B	2	_
Alignment with assessment	Elem Ed	F/B	2	2.0
plan	Elem Ed	F/B	2	
	English	F/B	2	
	Physical Ed	M/B	2	
	Mathematics	M/B	2	
	Mathematics	F/B	2	
Lesson and unit structure	Elem Ed	F/B	2	2.17
	Elem Ed	F/B	2	
	English	F/B	3	
	Physical Ed	M/B	2	
	Mathematics	M/B	2	
	Mathematics	F/B	2	
Lesson activities ACEI 3.3	Elem Ed	F/B	3	2.5
	Elem Ed	F/B	3	
	English	F/B	3	
	Physical Ed	M/B	2	
	Mathematics	M/B	2	
	Mathematics	F/B	2	
Teachir	ng Process Five – In			<u> </u>
Modifications based on pre-	Elem Ed	F/B	3	2.5
assessment of student learning	Elem Ed	F/B	2	2.3
ACEI 4.0	English	F/B	3	
ACDI 4.0	Physical Ed	M/B	2	
	Mathematics	M/B M/B	3	
			2	
Continuous	Mathematics	F/B		1 02
Continuous monitoring of	Elem Ed	F/B	2	1.83
student learning ACEI 4.0	Elem Ed	F/B	2 2	
	English	F/B		
	Physical Ed	M/B	1	
	Mathematics	M/B	2	
	Mathematics	F/B	2	
Congruence between	Elem Ed	F/B	2	1.83
modifications and learning	Elem Ed	F/B	3	
			•	•

goals ACEI 5.1	English	F/B	2						
gouis 11021011	Physical Ed	M/B	1						
	Mathematics	M/B	2						
	Mathematics	F/B	1						
Teaching Process Six- Analysis of Student Learning									
Accuracy and completeness of	Elem Ed	F/B	2	2.0					
data collection	Elem Ed	F/B	2	_,,					
	English	F/B	2						
	Physical Ed	M/B	2						
	Mathematics	M/B	2						
	Mathematics	F/B	2						
Graphic presentation of data	Elem Ed	F/B	2	2.0					
Confirm Processing of Samu	Elem Ed	F/B	2	_,,					
	English	F/B	2						
	Physical Ed	M/B	2						
	Mathematics	M/B	2						
	Mathematics	F/B	2						
Interpretation of data	Elem Ed	F/B	2	1.66					
	Elem Ed	F/B	1						
	English	F/B	2						
	Physical Ed	M/B	1						
	Mathematics	M/B	2						
	Mathematics	F/B	2						
Teach	ning Process Seven-	Reflection and Ev	aluation						
Reflection on learning goals	Elem Ed	F/B	2	2.0					
ACEI 5.1	Elem Ed	F/B	2						
	English	F/B	2						
	Physical Ed	M/B	2						
	Mathematics	M/B	2						
	Mathematics	F/B	2						
Implications for future	Elem Ed	F/B	2	2.0					
teaching ACEI 5.1	Elem Ed	F/B	2						
	English	F/B	2						
	Physical Ed	M/B	2						
	Mathematics	M/B	2						
	Mathematics	F/B	2						
Implications for professional	Elem Ed	F/B	2	2.0					
development ACEI 5.1	Elem Ed	F/B	2						
	English	F/B	2						
	Physical Ed	M/B	2						
	Mathematics	M/B	2						
	Mathematics	F/B	2						

Spring 2017-18 N=1

Spring 2017-16 N= 1							
Criteria	Program	Gender/Race	Score	Mean Score 2017			
				N= 1			
Т	eaching Process O	ne- Contextual Fac	tors				
Knowledge of community,	Science	M/B	2	2.0			
schools and classroom factors							
ACEI 3.1							
Knowledge of characteristics	Science	M/B	2	2.0			
of students ACEI 3.2							
Knowledge of students' varied	Science	M/B	2	2.0			
approaches to learning ACEI							
3.2							
Implications for Instructional	Science	M/B	2	2.0			
planning and assessment							
ACEI 3.2	<u> </u>		1				
Significance Challenge and	Science Science	Two-Learning Goa		1.0			
Significance, Challenge and variety	Science	M/B	1	1.0			
Clarity	Science	M/B	2	2.0			
Alignment with National, State	Science	M/B	3	3.0			
or Local Standards ACEI	Belefice	IVI/ D	3	3.0			
3.1							
	Teaching Process T	hree- Assessment P	Plan				
Alignment with learning Goals	Science	M/B	2	2.0			
and Instruction ACEI 4.0							
Adaptions to Assessment	Science	M/B	2	2.0			
based instructional monitoring							
ACEI 3.4							
Quality of Assessment	Science	M/B	2	2.0			
Instruments							
ACEI 4.0							
		r- Design for Instru	1ction 2	2.0			
Alignment with learning goals ACEI 3.1	Science	M/B	2	2.0			
Alignment with assessment	Science	M/B	2	2.00			
plan	Belefice	IVI/ D	2	2.00			
Lesson and unit structure	Science	M/B	2	2.0			
Lesson activities ACEI 3.3	Science	M/B	2	2.0			
	•	nstructional Decision	n Making				
Modifications based on pre-	Science	M/B	2	2.0			
assessment of student learning							
ACEI 4.0							
Continuous monitoring of	Science	M/B	2	2.0			
student learning ACEI 4.0							
Congruence between	Science	M/B	2	2.00			
modifications and learning							
goals ACEI 5.1							
		nalysis of Student I		1 22			
Accuracy and completeness of	Science	M/B	2	2.0			
data collection		M/D	2	2.0			
Graphic presentation of data		M/B M/B	2 2	2.0			
Interpretation of data	ing Dugges Corre			2.0			
Teach	mig r rocess seven-	- Reflection and Ev	ลเนสเเบน				

Reflection on learning goals	Science	M/B	3	3.0
ACEI 5.1				
Implications for future	Science	M/B	1	1.0
teaching ACEI 5.1				
Implications for professional	Science	M/B	2	2.0
development ACEI 5.1				

Spring 2018-19 N= 2

Spring 2016-19 N= 2								
Criteria	Unacceptable	Gender/race	Score	Mean Score				
TT.		C 4 1 IF		2017				
	Teaching Process On			2.5				
Knowledge of community,	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.5				
schools and classroom factors	English	F/B	3					
ACEI 3.1	P1 P1	14.5		2.7				
Knowledge of characteristics	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.5				
of students ACEI 3.2	English	F/B	3					
Knowledge of students' varied	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.0				
approaches to learning ACEI 3.2	English	F/B	2					
Implications for Instructional	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.5				
planning and assessment	English	F/B	3					
ACEI 3.2		-,-	_					
	Teaching Process	Two-Learning Goa	als					
Significance, Challenge and	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.0				
variety	English	F/B	2					
Clarity	Elem Ed	M/B	3	3.0				
	English	F/B	3					
Alignment with National, State	Elem Ed	M/B	3	3.0				
or Local Standards ACEI	English	F/B	3					
3.1			_					
	Teaching Process Tl	nree- Assessment I	Plan					
Alignment with learning Goals	Elem Ed	M/B	3	3.0				
and Instruction ACEI 4.0	English	F/B	3					
Adaptions to Assessment	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.5				
based instructional monitoring	English	F/B	3					
ACEI 3.4	8							
Quality of Assessment	Elem Ed	M/B	3	3.0				
Instruments	English	F/B	3					
ACEI 4.0								
Tea	aching Process Four	r- Design for Instr	uction					
Alignment with learning goals	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.0				
ACEI 3.1	English	F/B	2					
Alignment with assessment	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.0				
plan	English							
Lesson and unit structure	Elem Ed M/B 3			3.0				
	English	F/B	3					
Lesson activities ACEI 3.3	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.0				
	English	F/B	2					
Teaching Process Five – Instructional Decision Making								
Modifications based on pre-	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.5				
assessment of student learning	English	F/B	3					
ACEI 4.0								
	l			I .				

Continuous monitoring of	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.0
student learning ACEI 4.0	English	F/B	2	
Congruence between	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.0
modifications and learning	English	F/B	2	
goals ACEI 5.1				
Teach	ing Process Six	- Analysis of Student	Learning	
Accuracy and completeness of	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.5
data collection	English	F/B	3	
Graphic presentation of data	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.5
	English	F/B	3	
Interpretation of data	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.5
	English	F/B	3	
Teach	ing Process Se	ven- Reflection and E	valuation	
Reflection on learning goals	Elem Ed	M/B	3	3.0
ACEI 5.1	English	F/B	3	
Implications for future	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.5
teaching ACEI 5.1	English	F/B	3	
Implications for professional	Elem Ed	M/B	2	2.5
development ACEI 5.1	English	F/B	3	

Table Two: Overall Mean score for each Teaching Process Standard

Standard	Year	One	Two	Three	Four	Five	Six	Seven	Overall
Overall									mean
Mean	2016-17	2.20	2.61	2.05	2.17	2.05	1.88	2.0	2.14
	2017-18	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
	2018-19	2.38	2.67	2.83	2.25	2.17	2.5	2.66	2.49

Data Analysis and Interpretation of Results

The data from the Teacher Work Sample reveals that candidates are performing "2-acceptable" or "3-target" on most components of the rubric. In looking at the data, Teaching Process three-Assessment plan was highest for candidates during the 2018-19 academic years. However, overall mean performance shows an area of weakness in Teaching Process five-Instructional Decision making. This was also a weakness for the candidate duringthe 2017-18 year. Candidates also showed strength with Teaching ProcessTwo- Learning Goals, during the 2016-17 and 2018-19 period. The unit will begin implementing components of the instrument during the Instructional planning course to help candidates' improve performance in these areas of the assessment.

The data table reveals that candidates duirngthe 2018-19 seemed to have performed better overall than candiadtes during the 2016-17 and 2017-18 years. Overall, all candidates scored overall a 2- "acceptable" or higher in the TWS. Additionally, the EPP will work with its Professional Learning Unit(PEUs) to make sure proper planning of this assessment is imlpementated in each content area.